BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 RAY LA BLANC, d.b.a. ROE APARTMENTS, PCHB No. 274 Appellant, 5 FINDINGS OF FACT. VS. 6 CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

This matter, the appeal of a \$25.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before all members of the Pollution Control Hearings Board at a formal hearing in a conference room in respondent's Seattle offices at 10:00 a.m., April 5, 1973.

Appellant was present and represented himself. Respondent appeared through its counsel, Keith D. McGoffin. Evan Aaron, Seattle court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were offered and admitted.

On the basis of testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control Hearings Board prepared Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order which were submitted to the appellant and respondent on May 11, 1973. No objections or exceptions to the Proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order having been received, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes and enters the following

## FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

}

On December 13, 1972, from the boiler stack of appellant's Roe Apartments, 912 East Pike Street, Seattle, King County, there was emitted for at least six consecutive minutes black smoke of density greater than No. 2 on the Ringelmann scale.

II.

Section 9.03(a) of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow the emission of an air contaminant for more than three minutes in a one hour period greater in density than No. 2 on the Ringelmann scale.

III.

Respondent issued Notice of Violation 6644 to appellant in connection with the December 13, 1972 incident, and subsequently served Notice of Civil Penalty No. 598, in the sum of \$25.00, on appellant.

That penalty is the subject of this appeal.

IV.

Desiring to acquire a heating element less likely to cause air

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

pollution, appellant on January 15, 1973 entered into a contract for the installation of a natural gas burner for the Roe Apartments' furnace.

From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

## CONCLUSIONS

I.

Appellant was in violation of Section 9.03(a) of respondent's Regulation I on December 13, 1972.

II.

Notice of Civil Penalty No. 598, for \$25.00, being one-fifth of the maximum allowable amount for a violation of respondent's Regulation I, is reasonable.

III.

However, appellant's installation of a natural gas burner is an expense designed to keep the Roe Apartments in compliance with air pollution regulations. With compliance apparently being achieved, collection of the penalty seems unnecessary.

From these Conclusions, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes this

ORDER

The appeal is denied but Notice of Civil Penalty No. 598 is suspended on condition of no further violation for a period of six months from the date of this Order.

26 | |FINDINGS OF FACT,

27 CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

'5

|     | DONE at Lacey, Washington this 14th day of, 1973. |
|-----|---|
| 1   | <b>v</b>  |
| 2   | FOLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD                  |
| 3   | Walt Nordward                                     |
| 4   | WALT WOODWARD Chairman                            |
| 5   | Ma nistey   |
| 6   | W. A. GISSBERG, Member                            |
| 7   | James T. She I.                                   |
| 8   | JAMES T. SHEEHY, Member                           |
| 9   |   |
| 10  |   |
| 11  |   |
| 12  |   |
| 13  |   |
| 14  |   |
| 15  |   |
| 16  |   |
| 17  |   |
| 18  |   |
| 19  |   |
| 20  |   |
| 21  |   |
| 22  |   |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | -   |
| 25  |   |
| ~ ~ |   |

S F No 9928-A-

FINDINGS OF FACT, 27 CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER